

Surveyor: Cathy Lynch Date: 9th March 2017 Weather:

Amenity Evaluation Rating For TPO's

Tree Species: Oak Evaluation Score: 16

Grid Ref: Laneside

Address: HOWDEN HAYES

Location:

Typical useful life expectancy of common trees, 300+ Yew / 200-300 Oak, Sweet Chestnut, Plane, Sycamore, Lime / 200 Scots Pine, Hornbeam, Beech, Tulip Tree, Norway Maple, Lebanon Cedar / 100 - 150 Ash, Spruce, Walnut, Red Oak, Horse Chestnut, Field Maple, Monkey Puzzle, Mulberry, Pear / 70 - 100 Rowan, Whitebeam, Apple, Gean, Catalpa, Robinia, Ailanthus / 50 - 70 Poplars, Willows, Cherries, Alders, Birches.

1. Size 1 Very small 2-5m ² 2 Small 5-10m ² 3 Small 10-25 ² 4 Medium 25-50m ² 5 Medium 50-100m ² 6 Large 100-200m ² 7 Very large 200m ² +	<u>6</u>		6. Suitability to area -1 Unsuitable 1 Just suitable 2 Fairly suitable 3 Very suitable 4 Particularly suitable	<u>3</u>	
2. Life expectancy 1 5-15 yrs 2 15-40 yrs 3 40-100yrs 4 100yrs +	<u>3</u>		7. Future amenity value 0 Potential already recognised 1 Some potential 2 Medium potential 3 High potential	<u>0</u>	
3. Form -1 Trees which are of poor form 0 Trees of not very good form 1 Trees of average form 2 Trees of good form 3 Trees of especially good form	<u>1</u>		8. Tree influence On Structures -1 Significant 0 Slight 1 Insignificant	<u>0</u>	
4. Visibility 0 Trees not visible to public 1 Trees only seen with difficulty or by a very small number of people 2 Back garden trees, or trees slightly blocked by other features 3 Prominent trees in well frequented places 4 Principal features in a public area.	<u>2</u>		9. Added factors <i>If more than one factor relevant maximum score can still only be 2</i> 1 Rare 1 Screening unpleasant view 1 Relevant to the Local Plan 1 Historical association 1 Considerable wildlife value 1 Veteran tree status	<u>0</u>	
5. Other trees in the area 0.5 Wooded (70% = 100+ trees) 1 Many (30% = 10+ trees) 2 Some (10% = 4+trees) 3 Few (<10% = 1+trees) 4 None	<u>1</u>		10. Notes and total score Not / Reasonable for inclusion within the TPO (>15 Merits consideration)	<u>16</u>	

Justification/Expediency <input type="checkbox"/> Human Rights Act 1998? <input type="checkbox"/> Change of ownership? <input type="checkbox"/> Development pressures? <input type="checkbox"/> Risk of felling? <input type="checkbox"/> Risk of unacceptable pruning? <input type="checkbox"/> Section 211 notification? <input type="checkbox"/> Tree Preservation Order Review? (DETR BB Chapter 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Hedgerow regulations? <input type="checkbox"/> Member of public/Committee request?	Notes
---	--------------

Signature Of Surveyor:

Notes Continued Overleaf **Y N**

Surveyor: *Cathy Lynch*

Date: *9th March 2017*

Weather:

Amenity Evaluation Rating For TPO's

Tree Species: *Mixed*

Evaluation Score: *16*

Grid Ref: *Species Beech, Ash, oak*

Address: *HOWDEN HAYES*

Location:

Typical useful life expectancy of common trees, 300+ Yew / 200-300 Oak, Sweet Chestnut, Plane, Sycamore, Lime / 200 Scots Pine, Hornbeam, Beech, Tulip Tree, Norway Maple, Lebanon Cedar / 100 - 150 Ash, Spruce, Walnut, Red Oak, Horse Chestnut, Field Maple, Monkey Puzzle, Mulberry, Pear / 70 - 100 Rowan, Whitebeam, Apple, Glean, Catalpa, Robinia, Ailanthus / 50 - 70 Poplars, Willows, Cherries, Alders, Birches.

1. <u>Size</u>	score	Notes	6. <u>Suitability to area</u>	score	Notes
1 Very small 2-5m ² 2 Small 5-10m ² 3 Small 10-25 ² 4 Medium 25-50m ² 5 Medium 50-100m ² 6 Large 100-200m ² 7 Very large 200m ² +	<i>6</i>	<i>Group value</i>	-1 Unsuitable 1 Just suitable 2 Fairly suitable 3 Very suitable 4 Particularly suitable	<i>2</i>	
2. <u>Life expectancy</u> 1 5-15 yrs 2 15-40 yrs 3 40-100yrs 4 100yrs +	<i>3</i>		7. <u>Future amenity value</u> 0 Potential already recognised 1 Some potential 2 Medium potential 3 High potential	<i>1</i>	
3. <u>Form</u> -1 Trees which are of poor form 0 Trees of not very good form 1 Trees of average form 2 Trees of good form 3 Trees of especially good form	<i>1</i>		8. <u>Tree influence On Structures</u> -1 Significant 0 Slight 1 Insignificant	<i>1</i>	
4. <u>Visibility</u> 0 Trees not visible to public 1 Trees only seen with difficulty or by a very small number of people 2 Back garden trees, or trees slightly blocked by other features 3 Prominent trees in well frequented places 4 Principal features in a public area.	<i>1</i>		9. <u>Added factors</u> <i>If more than one factor relevant maximum score can still only be 2</i> 1 Rare 1 Screening unpleasant view 1 Relevant to the Local Plan 1 Historical association 1 Considerable wildlife value 1 Veteran tree status	<i>0</i>	
5. <u>Other trees in the area</u> 0.5 Wooded (70% = 100+ trees) 1 Many (30% = 10+ trees) 2 Some (10% = 4+trees) 3 Few (<10% = 1+trees) 4 None	<i>1</i>		10. <u>Notes and total score</u> Not / Reasonable for inclusion within the TPO (>15 Merits consideration)	<i>16</i>	

Justification/Expediency	Notes
<input type="checkbox"/> Human Rights Act 1998? <input type="checkbox"/> Change of ownership? <input type="checkbox"/> Development pressures? <input type="checkbox"/> Risk of felling? <input type="checkbox"/> Risk of unacceptable pruning? <input type="checkbox"/> Section 211 notification? <input type="checkbox"/> Tree Preservation Order Review? (DETR BB Chapter 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Hedgerow regulations? <input type="checkbox"/> Member of public/Committee request?	

Signature Of Surveyor:

Notes Continued Overleaf **Y N**